



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
09/942,081	08/28/2001	Dmitriy V. Yepishin	CLIC1P020	6172	
28875	7590 02/24/2005		EXAM	EXAMINER	
Zilka-Kotab, PC			DUONG, OANH L		
P.O. BOX 721120 SAN JOSE, CA 95172-1120			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
			2155		
		•	DATE MAILED: 02/24/200:	DATE MAILED: 02/24/2005	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
	09/942,081	YEPISHIN ET AL.				
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
	Oanh L. Duong	2155				
The MAILING DATE of this communication a	ppears on the cover sheet with the o	correspondence address -				
Period for Reply		vo) ===1				
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REP THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a re - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory perio - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statuenty reply received by the Office later than three months after the mail earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	I. 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tingle the statutory minimum of thirty (30) day d will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the cause the application to become ABANDONE	nely filed s will be considered timely. the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
Status						
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 23	September 2004.					
2a)⊠ This action is FINAL . 2b)☐ Th	is action is non-final.					
3) Since this application is in condition for allow	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is					
closed in accordance with the practice under	closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.					
Disposition of Claims						
4)⊠ Claim(s) 1-23 is/are pending in the application	Claim(s) 1-23 is/are pending in the application.					
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdr	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.					
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.	Claim(s) is/are allowed.					
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-23</u> is/are rejected.	Claim(s) <u>1-23</u> is/are rejected.					
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.	Claim(s) is/are objected to.					
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and	Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.					
Application Papers	•					
9) The specification is objected to by the Examin	ner.					
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) □ ad	The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) ☐ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner.					
Applicant may not request that any objection to the	Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).					
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).						
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the	Examiner. Note the attached Office	e Action or form PTO-152.				
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) All b) Some * c) None of:)-(d) or (f).				
	 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 					
3. Copies of the certified copies of the pr						
application from the International Bure	•					
* See the attached detailed Office action for a li	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	ed.				
-	·					
Attachment(s)						
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 4) Interview Summary (PTO-413)						
2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08)						
 Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/0 Paper No(s)/Mail Date 	6) Other:					

Art Unit: 2155

DETAILED ACTION

Claims 1-32 are presented for examination.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 101

35 U.S.C. 101 reads as follows:

Whoever invents or discovers any new and useful process, machine, manufacture, or composition of matter, or any new and useful improvement thereof, may obtain a patent therefor, subject to the conditions and requirements of this title.

1. Claims 9 and 20 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 101 because the claimed invention is directed to non-statutory subject matter.

The claims are directed to non-statutory subject matter when claimed as descriptive material per se.

Claim Objections

2. Claims 1 and 11 are objected to because of the following informalities: "the computer" is lack of antecedent basis.

Appropriate correction is required.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

Application/Control Number: 09/942,081

Art Unit: 2155

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(e) the invention was described in (1) an application for patent, published under section 122(b), by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent or (2) a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent, except that an international application filed under the treaty defined in section 351(a) shall have the effects for purposes of this subsection of an application filed in the United States only if the international application designated the United States and was published under Article 21(2) of such treaty in the English language.

3. Claims 1-6, 8-16 and 18-23 rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by Wang et al. (Wang) (US 2002/0035579 A1).

Regarding claim 1, Wang teaches a method for user agent operation comprising:

- (a) accessing a network site utilizing a network (pages 3-4 paragraph 51);
- (b) simulating user action for navigating the network site based on client specification (page 5, paragraphs 62- 63);
 - (c) receiving a web page from the site (page 3 paragraphs 48);
 - (d) assigning identifiers to elements of the web page (page 4 paragraph 56);
- (e) rendering the web page for further processing (i.e., then transforms the source material into the appropriate format, page 3 paragraph 48);
 - (f) rendering dynamic content of the web page (page 5 paragraph 61); and
- (g) returning the rendered web page to the client in a markup language(pages 1-2 paragraph 9 and page 6 paragraph 72).

Regarding claim 2, Wang teaches simulating a user interaction for navigating from the web page (page 5 paragraph 62).

Regarding claim 3, Wang teaches navigating from the web page includes at least one of selecting links on a web page, submitting forms, and firing scripting event (page 5 paragraph 62).

Art Unit: 2155

Regarding claim 4, Wang teaches the client is informed of changed frames (page 6 paragraph 73).

Regarding claim 5, Wang teaches specified frames are sent to the client (page 7 paragraphs 79-80).

Regarding claim 6, Wang teaches a network interface is provided (Fig. 1).

Regarding claim 8, Wang teaches the rendered content is output to a wireless user device (i.e., Mobile phone, PDA, and Fig. 1 page 1 paragraph 8).

Regarding claim 9, a computer program product for user agent operation of claim 9 has a corresponding method of claim 1; therefore, claim 9 is rejected under the same rationale as applied to claim 1.

Regarding claim 11, a system of claim 10 has a corresponding method of claim 1; therefore, claim 10 is rejected under the same rationale as applied to claim 1.

Regarding claim 1, Wang teaches a method for user agent operation comprising:

- (a) accessing a network site utilizing a network (pages 3-4 paragraph 510;
- (b) simulating user action for navigating the network site based on client specifications (i.e., logging procedures could have been applied, page 5 paragraph 63);
 - (c) receiving a web page from the site (page 3 paragraph 48);
 - (d) assigning identifiers to elements of the web page (page 4 paragraph 56);
 - (e) rendering the web page for further processing (page 3 paragraph 48);
 - (f) rendering dynamic content of the web page (page 5 paragraph 61); and
- (g) returning the rendered web page to the client in a markup language (pages 1-2 paragraph 9 and page 6 paragraph 72);

Application/Control Number: 09/942,081

Art Unit: 2155

wherein the client performs further processing (page 7 page 7 paragraphs 77-78).

Regarding claim 12, Wang teaches navigating from the web page (page 5 paragraph 62).

Regarding claim 13, Wang teaches navigating from the web page includes at least one of selecting links on a web page, submitting forms, and firing scripting event (page 5 paragraph 62).

Regarding claim 14, Wang teaches the client is informed of changed frames (page 6 paragraph 73).

Regarding claim 15, Wang teaches specified frames are sent to the client (page 7 paragraphs 79-80).

Regarding claim 16, Wang teaches a network interface is provided (Fig. 1).

Regarding claim 18, Wang teaches the rendered content is output to a wireless user device (i.e., Mobile phone, PDA, Fig. 1 page 1 paragraph 8).

Regarding claim 19, Wang teaches repeating acts (b)-(g) of claim 11 (page 5 paragraph 62).

Regarding claim 20, a computer program product for user agent operation of claim 20 has a corresponding method of claim 11; therefore, claim 20 is rejected under the same rationale as applied to claim 11.

Regarding claim 21, a system of claim 21 has a corresponding method of claim 11; therefore, claim 21 is rejected under the same rationale as applied to claim 11.

Regarding claim 22, Wang teaches a method, comprising:

Application/Control Number: 09/942,081

Art Unit: 2155

(a) accessing a network site utilizing a network (pages 3-4 paragraph 510;

Page 6

- (b) simulating user action for navigating the network site based on client specification (i.e., logging procedures could have been applied, page 5 paragraph 63);
 - (c) receiving a web page from the site (page 3 paragraph 48);
 - (d) assigning identifiers to elements of the web page (page 4 paragraph 56);
- (e) rendering the web page for further processing (i.e., then transforms the source material into the appropriate format, page 3 paragraph 48);
 - (f) rendering dynamic content of the web page (page 5 paragraph 61); and
- (g) returning the rendered web page to the client in a markup language (pages 1-2 paragraph 9 and page 6 paragraph 72).
- (h) wherein specified frames of the web page are sent to the client (page 7 paragraphs 79-80); and
 - (i) navigating from the web page (page 5 paragraph 62);
- (j) wherein navigating from the web page includes at least one of selecting links on a web page, submitting forms, and firing scripting event (page 5 paragraph 62);
- (k) wherein the client performs further processing (page 7 page 7 paragraphs77-78);
 - (I) wherein the client is informed of changed frames (page 6 paragraph 73);
- (m) the rendered content is output to a wireless user device (i.e., Mobile phone, PDA, Fig. 1 page 1 paragraph 8).

Regarding claim 23, Wang teaches repeating acts (b)-(g) of claim 22 (page 5 paragraph 62).

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

- (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 4. Claims 7 and 17 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Wang in view of Balasubramaniam et al. (Balasubramaniam) (US 6,477,550 B1).

Regarding claims 7 and 17, Wang does not teach COM interface.

Balasubramaniam, in the same field of endeavor, teach COM interface (col. 5 lines 55-67). It would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art to have utilized COM interface of Balasubramaniam with operation of Wang because such COM interface enables any programming-language to be executed in the existing platform, thereby increasing flexibility of the system.

Response to Arguments

5. Applicant's arguments filed 09/23/2004 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive.

In response to applicant's argument that the references fail to show certain features of applicant's invention, it is noted that the features upon which applicant relies (i.e., "the element that user interaction be simulated for navigating a network site, such as traversing several pages on the sites" "system actually can perform navigation

functions") are not recited in the rejected claim(s). Although the claims are interpreted in light of the specification, limitations from the specification are not read into the claims. See *In re Van Geuns*, 988 F.2d 1181, 26 USPQ2d 1057 (Fed. Cir. 1993).

THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL. Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of this final action.

Conclusion

6. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Oanh L. Duong whose telephone number is (571) 272-3983. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday- Friday, 8:00AM - 5:30PM.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Hosain T. Alam can be reached on (571) 272-3978. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Application/Control Number: 09/942,081 Page 9

Art Unit: 2155

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

O.D February 21, 2005

HOSAIN ALAM

CHOTOMISORY PATENT EXAMINER